

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,679	·	04/08/2004	Barrie Tan	BT-002	1383
38051	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
KIRK HA	HN		MELLER, MICHAEL V		
14431 HOL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA A	SANTA ANA, CA 92705				FAFER NOMBER
				1655	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/821,679	TAN, BARRIE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael V. Meller	1655					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>5-41</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14,16-29,38 and 3</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5-9, 15, 30-37, 40, 41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	39 is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.					
_	on Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

As applicant notes, claims 10-14, 16-29, 38 and 39 (method claims) are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

It is noted that applicant elected claims 5-9, 15, 30-37, 40, 41 (product claims) without traverse.

The requirement is still deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1655

Claims 5-9, 30-37, 40, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alaux et al. (col. 8, lines 55-end), Levy et al. (ex. 3), Kapadia et al. (col. 7, lines 55-65), JP 362132824 (abstract), or JP 2001114628 (abstract).

Each of the references teaches an oral composition containing an annatto extract (Bixa orellana). It is inherent to the composition that geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are in the annatto extract as noted by applicants in their own specification, see page 11. The specifically claimed geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are inherently in the annatto compositions since the geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are derived from the annatto extract. The different isomer forms and amounts of geranyl geraniols are inherent to the annatto extract (Bixa orellana).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9, 15, 30-37, 40, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaux et al. (col. 8, lines 55-end), Levy et al. (ex. 3), Kapadia et al.

Art Unit: 1655

(col. 7, lines 55-65), JP 362132824 (abstract), or JP 2001114628 (abstract) taken with JP 62123113 (abstract), GB 2178662 (page 2, lines 10-15) or WO 89/01740 (claims).

Alaux et al. (col. 8, lines 55-end), Levy et al. (ex. 3), Kapadia et al. (col. 7, lines 55-65), JP 362132824 (abstract), or JP 2001114628 (abstract) each teach annatto extract (Bixa orellana) are used in oral compositions. It is inherent to the compositions that geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are in the annatto extract as noted by applicants in their own specification, see page 11. The specifically claimed geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are inherently in the annatto compositions since the geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are derived from the annatto extract. The different isomer forms and amounts of geranyl geraniols are inherent to the annatto extract (Bixa orellana).

JP 62123113 (abstract), GB 2178662 (page 2, lines 10-15) or WO 89/01740 (claims) all teach that CO Q-10 is known to be used in oral compositions.

It is well known that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two or more ingredients each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition which is useful for the same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. *In re Sussman,* 1943 C.D. 518; *In re Pinten,* 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); *In re Susi,* 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80; 440 F.2d 442, 445; 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); *In re Crockett,* 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21; 279 F.2d 274, 276-277; 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960).

The reason or motivation to modify a reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve a different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. While there must be motivation to

make the claimed invention, there is no requirement that the prior art provide the same reason as the applicant to make the claimed invention.

MPEP 2144 Sources of Rationale Supporting a Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103. http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/documents/2100 2144.htm>

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the annatto extract and the CO Q-10 together since they are both known individually in the art to be used in oral compositions.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

MVM